Rachel Meyer, Ph.D., Assistant Adjunct Professor CALeDNA Program Director, University of California, Santa Cruz

Environmental DNA of the Los Angeles River, 2019-Present



Environmental DNA on the LA River

Presenter: Rachel Meyer

On behalf of the CALeDNA team and the CALeDNA Explorer team



Protecting our River Monitoring LA River Biodiversity

Sept

CALeDNA

Partner Acknowledgements

- LA River Summer 2019 Workshop Attendees
- Funders:







• Protecting our River Collaborators:





Protecting our River (PouR): Phase One

- Monitor the biodiversity of the L.A. River system using researchers, conservation groups, and community scientists to measure current conditions and the impact of enhancement and conservation efforts.
- Create an **open-data platform** to provide access to biological and environmental data about the L.A. River from collaborating conservation groups, government agencies, and researchers
- Connect scientists with local educators to generate interest and provide career guidance to young students, especially those from groups underrepresented in STEM
- Engage the community by sharing and archiving activities, interpretation of data, stories, and art from all participants.

CALeDNA Revolutionize Conservation in California

Protecting our River Monitoring LA River Biodiversity







Site Diversity: Headwaters to Ocean





Revolutionize Conservation in California

Protecting our River Monitoring LA River Biodiversity



Arroyo Seco vs Long Beach

Site Diversity: Hard vs Soft Bottom





Revolutionize Conservation in California

Protecting our River Monitoring LA River Biodiversity



Tujunga Wash vs Verdugo Wash

Site Diversity: River Width





Revolutionize Conservation in California

Protecting our River Monitoring LA River Biodiversity



Bowtie Parcel vs Bull Creek

Phase 1 Sampling Design

- 10 sediment samples and 4 water samples collected at each site
 - Along ~100m transect
 - Sediment collected every ~10m
 - Water collected every ~50m
- 3 time periods:
 - Summer 2020 (July-September)
 - Fall 2020 (October- November)
 - Winter 2021/ post rainfall (January-February)







Revolutionize Conservation in California Monitori



Phase 2: Sentinel Collections:

Collected but data are processing for 4 sites, 10 time points in 2022,

How should we share the data? CALeDNA way



ABOUT

EXPLORE DATA GET INVOLVED NEWS AND OUTPUTS

Los Angeles River Pilot Summer 2019

Intro Overview

Organisms Tree

Sampling Types Area Diversity Plants and Animals Detection Frequency

Appendix

Stats

Location	Sample Sites	Unique Taxa Identified		
Arroyo Seco	37	2688		
Maywood	15	1791		
Total	52	3151		

Arrovo Seco Maywood

Sampling Types

During our pilot bioblitz, we collected both soil/sediment samples and water samples. We wanted to compare the eDNA results from these two eDNA collecting methods.

This diagram compares the number of species:

found only in water samples

· found only in soil/sediment samples · found in both water samples and soil/sediment samples.

Click on the filters to toggle which kingdoms to examine.

速 Filters



Plants and Animals

While our project collects data about all kingdoms of life, we recognize that when most people talk about biodiversity, they mean plants and animals. Here are the plants (Streptophyta) and animals (Metazoa) that we found at Hahamongna and Maywood.

SIGN IN

We've broken up the data into species unique to each area and species found in both areas.



Needs

- Place where LA River practitioners can interact with the data and with each other, ask questions and interpret patterns
- Reference databases for eDNA improve! Need a place to update results
- LA River data should be compared to other places' data
 - CALeDNA is not the only LA River eDNA data producer! How do we make data interoperable?
- There is uncertainty with eDNA. Empower understanding
 - We allow less uncertainty in taxonomic accuracy when we are making species lists (bioinventory, bioindicators)
 - We allow more uncertainty when we are counting species for community ecology analyses (alpha and beta diversity)



Welcome to your the eDNA tool made specifically for you!



How it Works



Analyze

Use eDNA Explorer to do things like identify species from environmental samples using Tronko, compare with existing observations, and look for trends in biodiversity.



 \sim

Share

Choose to share your project with just your team or with the world to help others learn from your work.



Learn

Get help with learning about what eDNA is and how to use it for your project(s).

JOIN OUR COMMUNITY



Projects shared with eDNA Explorer



Water Primrose Invaded Delta

Water primrose (Ludwigia hexapetala) is one of the most globally invasive aquatic weeds. The goal of this project is to determine which traits may be leading to marsh mortality after an invasion in California's Bay-Delta. This study is testing hypotheses related to growth strategy, allelopathy, and factors related to marsh community structure and biodiversity.



POUR: Los Angeles River

A network of LA River monitoring organizations co-designed and facilitated the project, selecting a sampling regime covering 12 locations over 3 temporal windows from 2020-2021, which happened to span the three first waves of COVD-19 outbreak in Los Angeles.

 \rightarrow



Wildfire at Swanton Pacific Ranch

Swanton Pacific Ranch was badly burned in the 2020 CZU complex fire. However there are two small areas on the property that were largely protected from fire (lightly singedl). We collected soil samples at the surface as well as 10 cm depth to compare the difference in microbial communities after wildfire disturbance and collected both in both "burned" and "unburned" areas.

 \rightarrow







+ FOLLOW

POUR: seasonal eDNA of the LA River 2020-2021

 Last Updated
 Project timeline

 09/17/2023
 07/23/2020 - 02/20/2021

Contributors

Susanna Theroux, Sabrina Drill, Mas Dojiri, Wai-Yin Kwan, Courtney Bonilla, Sophie Parker, Melissa von Mayrhauser, Michelle Barton, Dave Jacobs, Katherine Pease, Luke Ginger, Paul Barber, Jonathan Bishop, Raphael Villeguas, James Oliver, Andy Aguilar, John Perisho, Peggy Nguyen, Kay Benitez, Rachel Turba de Paula, Miroslava Munguia-Ramos, Ariel Levi Simons, Anna Worth, Milagros Guadalupe Rivera, Colin Fairbairn, Dannise Ruiz, Meixi Lin, Maura Palacios-Mejia, Ana Garcia Vedrenne, Kimberley Ballare, Chloe Orland, Cynthia Valadon, Yuerong Xiao, Adam Wall, Dean Pentcheff, Regina Wetzer, Beth Shapiro, Rachel Meyer

DOI

Overview

Organism List How was this data processed?

Filters include 427/435 samples: Taxonomic level: Species, Max 25 mismatches, Min 0.003% relative abundance, more...



Chart Key

Percentage (%) of eDNA samples indicating presence

Why this matters



How similar or different were the samples in this project?

Filters include 435/443 samples: Taxonomic level: Species, Max 3 mismatches, Min 0.001% relative abundance, more...



How similar or different were the samples in this project?

Filters include 435/443 samples: Taxonomic level: Species, Max 3 mismatches, Min 0.001% relative abundance, more...



Organism Across Sites

 \sim

Filters include 339/435 samples: Taxonomic level: Species, Max 25 mismatches, Min 0.003% relative abundance, more...

Q Search	Sort by:	Most common ~	Primer: CO1_Metazoa	→ 🗇 Filters				[]]
SPECIES (878)	ARROYO_SECO	2 BOWTIE_PARCEL	3 BULL_CREEK	4 COMPTON_CREEK	5 ELYSIAN_VALLEY	6 GLENDALE	<pre>⑦ GLENDALE_NARROWS</pre>	8 LC
Galactomyces candidum	52.0%	38.7%	75.0%	89.3%	54.2%	65.5%	50.0%	(
aquatic oligochaete worm Chaetogaster diastrophus	24.0%	61.3%	66.7%	28.6%	58.3%	41.4%	63.2%	(
Fusarium solani	20.0%	64.5%	62.5%	85.7%	54.2%	44.8%	47.4%	(
Emericellopsis minima	36.0%	51.6%	70.8%	28.6%	37.5%	96.5%	28.9%	(
Fallceon quilleri	28.0%	67.7%	33.3%	× 0.0%	66.7%	82.8%	65.8%	(
Vexillifera expectata	20.0%	41.9%	54.2%	89.3%	37.5%	34.5%	52.6%	(

Chart Key

Percentage (%) of eDNA samples indicating presence

Organism presence in study area over time

Filters include 339/435 samples: Taxonomic level: Species, Max 25 mismatches, Min 0.003% relative abundance, more...



Chart Key



Percentage (%) of eDNA samples indicating presence

eDNA results compared to GBIF data

Species detected by eDNA vs. GBIF 3

Filters include 339/435 samples: Taxonomic level: Species, Max 25 mismatches, Min 0.003% relative abundance, more...



Cyclotella cryptica Pythium sp. AL-2010 Penicillium fellutanum Micropsectra nigripila Penicillium brevicompactum Phytophthora sp. GHJ-2016a Phytophthora bilorbang Saccamoeba sp. MSED6 Leuconotopicus albolarvatus Phalacrocorax auritus Calidris mauri Strymon melinus Pandion haliaetus Setophaga auduboni Selasphorus sasin Pelecanus acythrorhynchos Melozone crissalis Podilymbus podiceps Psychoda alternata Canis lupus Philodina megalotrocha Tetradesmus obliquus Hermetia illucens Linepithema humile Dero digitata Melampsora occidentalis Physella acuta Oligotoma nigra Diachus auratus

Benchmarking Results from the LA River

Marker	Taxa assigned with <i>Anacapa</i>	Taxa assigned with <i>Tronko</i>
16S	1351	11085
CO1	561	2226

Can filter on mismatches to reference

Can filter on relative abundance

Anacapa - Human Impact on CO1 evenness not sig



Tronko - Human Impact on CO1 evenness IS sig





HUGE THANK YOU TO YOU AND THESE ORGANIZATIONS!



oceankind







• Protecting our River Collaborators:



